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The splicing regulator Rbfox2 is required for both cerebellar development and mature motor function

Lauren T. Gehman,1 Pratap Meera,2 Peter Stoilov,3 Lily Shiue,4 Janelle E. O’Brien,5 Miriam H. Meisler,5 Manuel Ares Jr.,4 Thomas S. Otis,2 and Douglas L. Black1,6,7

1Molecular Biology Institute University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; 2Department of Neurobiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; 3Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506, USA; 4Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, Sinsheimer Labs, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA; 5Department of Human Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA; 6Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

The Rbfox proteins (Rbfox1, Rbfox2, and Rbfox3) regulate the alternative splicing of many important neuronal transcripts and have been implicated in a variety of neurological disorders. However, their roles in brain development and function are not well understood, in part due to redundancy in their activities. Here we show that, unlike Rbfox1 deletion, the CNS-specific deletion of Rbfox2 disrupts cerebellar development. Genome-wide analysis of Rbfox2−/− brain RNA identifies numerous splicing changes altering proteins important both for brain development and mature neuronal function. To separate developmental defects from alterations in the physiology of mature cells, Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 were deleted from mature Purkinje cells, resulting in highly irregular firing. Notably, the Scn8a mRNA encoding the Na1.6 sodium channel, a key mediator of Purkinje cell pacemaking, is improperly spliced in Rbfox2 and Rbfox1 mutant brains, leading to highly reduced protein expression. Thus, Rbfox2 protein controls a post-transcriptional program required for proper brain development. Rbfox2 is subsequently required with Rbfox1 to maintain mature neuronal physiology, specifically Purkinje cell pacemaking, through their shared control of sodium channel transcript splicing.
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Alternative pre-mRNA splicing is an important mechanism for regulating gene expression that contributes greatly to proteomic diversity in eukaryotes [Black 2003; Blencowe 2006; Nilsen and Graveley 2010]. Changes in exon inclusion or splice site usage can substantially alter the expression or function of the encoded protein. Alternative splicing is especially prevalent in the mammalian nervous system, where it controls aspects of neural tube patterning, synaptogenesis, and the regulation of membrane physiology, among other important processes [Lipscombe 2005; Licatalosi and Darnell 2006; Li et al. 2007]. The choice of splicing pattern is generally controlled by trans-acting RNA-binding proteins that bind to cis-acting elements in the pre-mRNA to enhance or silence particular splicing events [Black 2003; Matlin et al. 2005; Chen and Manley 2009; Nilsen and Graveley 2010]. These RNA-binding proteins can be expressed in a temporal- or tissue-specific manner to alter the splicing of a defined set of transcripts. Some of these splicing regulators have been shown to play important roles in the developing and adult mammalian brain [Jensen et al. 2000; Lukong and Richard 2008; Calarco et al. 2009; Yano et al. 2010; Gehman et al. 2011; Raj et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2012].

In mammals, the RNA-binding Fox (Rbfox) family of splicing regulators is comprised of three members: Rbfox1 [Fox-1 or A2BP1], Rbfox2 [Fox-2 or RBM9], and Rbfox3 [Fox-3, HRNBP3, or NeuN] [Kuroyanagi 2009]. Each Fox protein has a single central RNA recognition motif (RRM) RNA-binding domain that recognizes the sequence [UGCAUG found within introns flanking alternative exons [Jin et al. 2003; Auweter et al. 2006; Ponthier et al. 2006]. The position of the [UGCAUG motif with respect to the alternative exon dictates the effect of the Rbfox proteins on splicing. A motif located downstream from the alternative exon generally promotes Rbfox-dependent exon inclusion, whereas an upstream motif will usually...
repress inclusion [Huh and Hynes 1994; Modafferi and Black 1997; Jin et al. 2003; Nakahata and Kawamoto 2005; Underwood et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2008; Kuroyanagi 2009; Yeo et al. 2009]. The three mouse Rbfox paralogs show a high degree of sequence conservation, especially within the RNA-binding domain, which is identical between Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 and only slightly altered in Rbfox3 (94% amino acid identity). The N-terminal and C-terminal domains are less similar between the proteins, presumably allowing for different protein–protein interactions. All three Rbfox family members are highly expressed in most neurons of the mature brain, where they regulate the splicing of neuronal transcripts [McKee et al. 2005; Nakahata and Kawamoto 2005; Underwood et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2009; Hammock and Levitt 2011]. Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 have been shown to control a shared set of neuronal-specific target exons, including exon N30 of nonmuscle myosin heavy chain II-B [NMHC-B], exon N1 of c-src, and exons 9* and 33 of the L-type calcium channel Ca_{1.2} [Nakahata and Kawamoto 2005; Underwood et al. 2005; Tang et al. 2009].

The individual Rbfox family members show differing patterns of expression. Rbfox1 is expressed in neurons, heart, and muscle, while Rbfox3 is limited to neurons [Wolf et al. 1996; Jin et al. 2003; McKee et al. 2005; Underwood et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2009; Damianov and Black 2010]. Rbfox2 is expressed in these tissues as well as other cell types, including the embryo, hematopoietic cells, and embryonic stem cells [ESCs] [Underwood et al. 2005; Ponthier et al. 2006; Yeo et al. 2007]. Thus, although the Rbfox proteins can regulate many of the same target exons when ectopically expressed, their in vivo targets may differ due to the variable expression of each protein. For example, Rbfox2 controls the developmental-specific splicing of exons in fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2), erythrocyte protein 4.1R, and STE20-like kinase in cells where the other proteins are absent [Baramiak et al. 2006; Ponthier et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2008; Yeo et al. 2009]. Rbfox2 is clearly important for splicing regulation during embryonic growth and development, but its role in the brain is less clear.

Defects in alternative splicing can lead to neurological and neuromuscular disease, such as frontotemporal dementia and myotonic dystrophy [Faustino and Cooper 2003; Licatalosi and Darnell 2006; Cooper et al. 2009]. The Rbfox proteins have also been linked to neurological conditions. Human mutations in the \textit{RBFOX1} (\textit{A2BP1}) gene can lead to severe disorders, including mental retardation, epilepsy, and autism spectrum disorder [Bhalla et al. 2004; Barnby et al. 2005; Martin et al. 2007; Sebat et al. 2007; Voinneau et al. 2011]. Moreover, human \textit{RBFOX1} was first identified through an interaction with Ataxin-2, the protein mutated in spinocerebellar ataxia type II (SCAII), and \textit{RBFOX2} was later shown to interact with Ataxin-1, which is mutated in SCAI patients [Shibata et al. 2000; Lim et al. 2006]. These results imply a role for Rbfox proteins in cerebellar function.

We recently showed that deletion of \textit{Rbfox1} results in increased neuronal excitation in the hippocampus and seizures in the mouse, in keeping with its regulation of many gene products important for synaptic transmission [Gehman et al. 2011]. \textit{Rbfox1} mutation did not lead to obvious cerebellar defects. Interestingly, deletion of \textit{Rbfox2} did not produce the same seizure phenotype as \textit{Rbfox1} deletion. Thus, while the Rbfox proteins share some target exons in the brain, they are not fully redundant in their functions.

To better understand the roles of Rbfox-mediated splicing regulation in the brain, we created mice with tissue- and cell type-specific deletions of one or more Rbfox proteins. We found that CNS-specific deletion of \textit{Rbfox2} results in impaired cerebellar development and additional neurological phenotypes, whereas postnatal deletion from cerebellar Purkinje neurons leads to marked deficits in neuronal excitability and, specifically, pacemaking. Thus, like \textit{Rbfox1}, \textit{Rbfox2} is essential for the proper function of mature neural circuits, but also plays a role in brain development.

Results

The Rbfox proteins show differing patterns of expression in the cerebellum

While expression of the Rbfox proteins overlaps in most areas of the brain [Gehman et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2011], the three Rbfox paralogs show strikingly different patterns of expression in neurons of the cerebellum. The cerebellar cortex consists of the internal granule cell layer (iGCL), a middle Purkinje cell layer, and an outermost molecular layer (ML) [Fig. 1A]. In the adult wild-type cerebellum, we found that granule cells express \textit{Rbfox1} and \textit{Rbfox3}, but not \textit{Rbfox2}. Inhibitory neurons of the ML express only \textit{Rbfox2}. Purkinje cells express \textit{Rbfox1} and \textit{Rbfox2}, but not \textit{Rbfox3} [Fig. 1A; Wolf et al. 1996; Kim et al. 2011]. In addition to their spatially distinct expression in the adult cerebellum, the Fox proteins exhibit temporally distinct patterns of expression during cerebellar development. \textit{Rbfox2} is the earliest Rbfox protein to be expressed, with abundant staining in Purkinje cells, immature cells of the deep cerebellar nuclei, and granule neurons in the iGCL at embryonic day 18 (E18) [Fig. 1B]. \textit{Rbfox2} expression remains high in Purkinje cells throughout development and adulthood, but cells of the iGCL gradually lose \textit{Rbfox2}. Most interneurons of the developing and mature ML express \textit{Rbfox2}. \textit{Rbfox1} is first expressed later than \textit{Rbfox2}, with weak expression in the iGCL by postnatal day 8 (P8), and stronger expression in this region and in Purkinje cells by P14 [Fig. 1B]. \textit{Rbfox3} is highly expressed in the iGCL by P5 but is never expressed in Purkinje cells [Figs. 1A, 2D]. Early in their development, Purkinje cells express only \textit{Rbfox2}, indicating that this particular Rbfox protein could play a role in their migration and maturation. The Rbfox proteins exhibit different subcellular localization in addition to different anatomical and temporal expression. \textit{Rbfox1} shows significant staining in both the cytoplasm and nucleus of Purkinje cells, while \textit{Rbfox2} is confined to the nucleus [Fig. 1A,B]. These nonredundant patterns of expression and localization in the mature and developing cerebellum suggest that the loss of any one of the Rbfox proteins may manifest most strongly in this region of the brain.
CNS-specific Rbfox2 results in abnormal cerebellar development

To assess the role of Rbfox2 in brain development and function, we generated mice with CNS-specific deletion of Rbfox2. Mice carrying conditional Rbfox2 alleles (Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>) [Supplemental Fig. 1] were created using standard methods and crossed with mice carrying the Cre recombinase gene driven by the rat Nestin promoter and enhancer (Nestin-Cre<sup>+/−</sup>). This mouse expresses Cre recombinase in all neural progenitors beginning by E11 (Tronche et al. 1999). The resulting heterozygous Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/Nestin-Cre<sup>+/−</sup> mice were again crossed to Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> mice to obtain homozygous Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/Nestin-Cre<sup>+/−</sup> mice. Cre-mediated recombination deletes Rbfox2 exons 6 and 7 between the loxP sites, resulting in a coding sequence frameshift and subsequent degradation of the Rbfox2 mRNA. This recombination was confirmed in the DNA of the mutant mice [Supplemental Fig. 1]. As expected, Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/Nestin-Cre<sup>+/−</sup> animals displayed loss of Rbfox2 protein in the brain (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Figure 2). Modest changes in expression of the other Rbfox homologs were observed in the Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> brain, with a slight increase (+12%) in the multiple Rbfox1 protein isoforms and a slight decrease
has numerous Purkinje cells that remain near their origin at the ventricular zone [Fig. 2D], suggesting a defect in Purkinje cell radial migration. Purkinje cell migration depends on the Reelin signaling pathway [Miyata et al. 1997], which also controls neuronal migration in other brain areas, such as the cerebral cortex. However, the cortical layers appear morphologically normal in the Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> cerebellum compared with wild type. At later postnatal stages, the total number of Purkinje cells does not differ between the two genotypes [Supplemental Fig. 3B], indicating that the excess Purkinje cells have been eliminated. To quantify cell death in the cerebellum, we performed terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end-labeling (TUNEL) staining. We found a threelfold increase in TUNEL-positive cells per unit area in the Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> cerebellum compared with wild type. At later postnatal stages of development, Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> Purkinje cells show additional abnormalities. After migration, Purkinje cells extend dendritic trees into the ML, where they mature and elaborate postnatally. At P10, the width of the ML is significantly decreased in the Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> cerebellum.
cerebellum, suggesting a reduction in Purkinje cell dendritic arborization \(P = 0.002\) [Lobe VI in Supplemental Fig. 3D]. The reduced size of the Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) cerebellum also suggests that there is a decrease in granule cell number, as a result of either reduced proliferation or reduced migration/survival. Under wild-type conditions, developing Purkinje cells secrete growth factors, such as Sonic hedgehog (Shh), to promote the proliferation and survival of granule cell precursors in the eGCL, which then become post-mitotic and migrate to the iGCL [Wang and Zoghbi 2001]. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation assays revealed a minor decrease in cell proliferation in the eGCL, with 10% fewer labeled nuclei 2 h after BrdU injection \(P = 0.021\). In contrast, after 72 h, the number of the BrdU-positive cells in the iGCL of the Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) cerebellum was greatly reduced \(40\% \text{ decrease}, P = 0.005\), indicating that depletion of Rbfox2 affects the migration and survival of granule cells [Supplemental Fig. 3E].

**The Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) brain exhibits numerous splicing changes in transcripts important for development and mature neuronal function**

We next assayed the changes in splicing in the Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) brain compared with wild type. By RT–PCR, we directly assayed candidate exons that are known to be regulated by Rbfox or to possess nearby Rbfox-binding sites. We also used Affymetrix exon junction [MJAY] microarrays to assay transcript abundance and alternative splicing across the genome. Splicing changes in cassette or mutually exclusive exons identified by the array were reassessed by RT–PCR. In total, we identified 29 cassette exons or mutually exclusive exon pairs that changed in inclusion by \(>5\%\) in the 1-mo-old Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) brain compared with wild type [Fig. 3; Table 1; Supplemental Fig. 4].

To assess whether these exons could be directly regulated by an Rbfox protein, we identified [U]GCAUG motifs within the intron sequences 300 nucleotides (nt) downstream or 300 nt upstream [Table 1]. These motifs are enriched in the knockout-responsive exons, with many conserved across mammalian species [Table 1; Materials and Methods]. The presence of conserved downstream motifs correlated with decreased splicing in the knockout mice. Exons showing increased splicing also generally had upstream motifs that could act as splicing repressor elements [Table 1]. However, the direction of the splicing change was not in all cases predictable from the position of binding motifs. As described previously, exons can carry Rbfox-binding motifs both upstream and downstream and/or within the exon [Tang et al. 2009]. In some transcripts, exons without nearby Rbfox sites can have more distal sites that are active [Huh and Hynes 1994; Lim and Sharp 1998; Tang et al. 2009]. There is also evidence that Rbfox proteins can be recruited to non-UCAUG elements via interactions with other proteins [Yeo et al. 2009; A Damianov and DL Black, unpubl.]. Thus, direct regulation by Rbfox proteins is also not always predictable by sequence alone. The conservation of the proximal binding elements and the correlation of their location with the direction of the splicing changes indicate that most of the observed splicing events are directly regulated by Rbfox2. However, these changes

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{The Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) brain exhibits splicing changes of exons with adjacent Rbfox-binding sites. Representative denaturing gel electrophoresis of RT–PCR products for Rbfox2-dependent exons. Above each gel is a schematic indicating the alternative exon [horizontal black boxes] and the location of [U]GCAUG binding sites [red and yellow boxes] in the flanking introns [thin horizontal lines]. Red boxes indicate [U]GCAUG sites conserved across multiple vertebrate species [Phastcons score \(>0.5\)]. Shown below the gel is a graph quantifying the mean percentage of alternative exon inclusion [percent spliced in, PSI] in wild-type [WT; black bars] and Rbfox2\(^{-/-}\) [blue bars] brains. Error bars represent SEM; \(n = 3\). \(\text{\(^{*}\)} P < 0.05, \text{\(^{*}\ast\)} P < 0.005, \text{[n.s.]}\) not significant by paired, one-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test. Exact \(P\)-values are shown in Table 1.}
\end{figure}
Table 1. Summary of differentially spliced exons in the Rbfox2−/− brain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative event ID</th>
<th>MJAY ratio</th>
<th>RT–PCR APSI</th>
<th>Upstream</th>
<th>Downstream</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Fox-1 knockout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Mean ± SEM]</td>
<td>[P-value]</td>
<td>UGCAUG</td>
<td>UGCAUG</td>
<td></td>
<td>∆PSI [Mean ± SEM]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Tra6 [203]</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-37 ± 7.0</td>
<td>1.7 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>E3 ubiquitin ligase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Stx3 [46]</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>-28 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.5 × 10^-4</td>
<td>-101, -74</td>
<td>+25, +185, +195</td>
<td>SNARE complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cacna1s [57]</td>
<td>-17 ± 5.2</td>
<td>4.0 × 10^-2</td>
<td>+13, +44, +60, +69, +165</td>
<td>Ion channel</td>
<td>-22 ± 2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Kcnq2 [30]</td>
<td>-17 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.8 × 10^-3</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>Ion channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Poldip3 [87]</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-17 ± 3.7</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>+40 RNA binding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cant1 [31]</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-16 ± 3.7</td>
<td>2.5 × 10^-2</td>
<td>+70, +210, +252</td>
<td>Calmodulin binding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Chd5 [115]</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-14 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.1 × 10^-3</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+26 Chromatin modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Snap25 [118]</td>
<td>-12 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.1 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+94, +101, +287</td>
<td>SNARE complex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Gabrb2 [24]</td>
<td>-12 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3.3 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+30 Neurotransmitter receptor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Cacna1d [104]</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-11 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.8 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-225, -191</td>
<td>+93 Ion channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Larp5 [252]</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-11 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.5 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>np Translation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Cadps [147]</td>
<td>-10 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-227</td>
<td>+49 SNARE complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Epb4.113 [117]</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-10 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.8 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>+40, +47 Cytoskeletal dynamics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Csd1, [93]</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-9.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>3.5 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-136</td>
<td>np RNA binding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Huw1 [234]</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-7.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-104</td>
<td>np E3 ubiquitin ligase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Scn8a [92]</td>
<td>-5.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.7 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+114, +192</td>
<td>Ion channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Nrxn3 [27]</td>
<td>-5.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.4 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>Synapse assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Cask [36]</td>
<td>+0.92</td>
<td>+5.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.7 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>Synapse assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Lrp8 [39]</td>
<td>+5.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.3 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-212</td>
<td>+21, +58, +69, +94, +148 Reelin binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Nrcam [57]</td>
<td>+6.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3.8 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-136</td>
<td>+171 Synapse assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Epb4.9 [75]</td>
<td>+0.98</td>
<td>+6.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.5 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>+100 Cytoskeletal dynamics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Fupbl1 [63]</td>
<td>+1.01</td>
<td>+8.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>3.3 × 10^-2</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>np Transcription</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Prmr1 [156]</td>
<td>+9.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.0 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-78, -44, +233</td>
<td>+31 Chromatin modification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Cacna1b [63]</td>
<td>+9.3 ± 0.93</td>
<td>4.9 × 10^-3</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>+141 Ion channel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Mett10d [66]</td>
<td>+9.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.6 × 10^-3</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>+36 Chromatin modification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Dkk3 [84]</td>
<td>+0.88</td>
<td>+11 ± 1.5</td>
<td>9.1 × 10^-3</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>np Wnt signaling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Kcnq1 [57]</td>
<td>+1.55</td>
<td>+14 ± 3.1</td>
<td>3.2 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>+83 Ion channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Fam1498 [162]</td>
<td>+1.19</td>
<td>+15 ± 4.2</td>
<td>3.5 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-253, -165</td>
<td>np Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Add3 [96]</td>
<td>+1.10</td>
<td>+23 ± 4.3</td>
<td>1.7 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-122, -33</td>
<td>+242 Cytoskeletal dynamics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT–PCR for each alternative event was performed on Rbfox2−/− whole brains, and the relative mean percent change in exon inclusion from the wild-type brain was calculated. The number in parentheses after the gene ID indicates the size in nucleotides of the alternative exon. The events listed are alternative cassette exons, except for Snap25, Cacna1d, Scn8a, and Lrp8, which are mutually exclusive exons. For these events, the downstream exon is listed.

MJAY ratio is a measure of the difference in the average ratio of inclusion to skipping for the indicated exon in the knockout sample group compared with wild type, calculated as previously described (Sugnet et al. 2006). Dashes indicate candidate exons that were directly tested by RT–PCR and were not identified by the array.

ΔPSI Percent change in exon inclusion [percent spliced in]. For mutually exclusive exons, the number given is for the downstream exon.

RT–PCR P-value was determined by paired, one-tailed Student’s t-test (n = 3).

Locations of [UGCAUG-binding sites in the proximal 300 nt upstream and downstream from the alternative exon are shown with distance in nucleotides. [np] Not present. Bold numbers indicate evolutionarily conserved sites [vertebrate conservation >0.5 as determined by phastCons, http://genome.ucsc.edu].

Reported function of the encoded protein.

APS values from RT–PCR performed on Rbfox1−/− whole brains, as reported previously (Gehman et al. 2011). Dashes indicate no significant change compared with wild type.

The Scn8a [92] entry corresponds to mutually exclusive exons 5N/5A; Scn8a exons 18N/18A were not significantly altered in the Rbfox2−/− whole-brain sample, but were changed in the Rbfox1−/−, Rbfox2−/− double mutant cerebellum [see Fig. 6].
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were measured in young adult mice that have developed in the absence of Rbfox2, and it is likely that some splicing changes are indirect effects of Rbfox2 depletion. Notably, beside the expected decrease in Rbfox2 transcripts, there were no significant changes in transcript abundance detected in the Rbfox2+/− brain. Thus, the effect of Rbfox2, whether direct or indirect, is largely post-transcriptional. Note that initial results from crosslinking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) experiments examining Rbfox1 and Rbfox3 binding in vivo indicate that many of the expected elements are binding at least one Rbfox protein. However, a more extensive Rbfox2 CLIP analysis in mouse cerebelli will be needed to define the direct Rbfox2 targets within the larger cerebellar program of Rbfox-dependent splicing.

Some transcripts altered in the Rbfox2−/− knockout were previously shown by CLIP to be bound by Rbfox2 in human ESCs (Yeo et al. 2009), indicating that mouse brains and human ESCs share some Rbfox2-regulated transcripts. However, most transcripts identified in the human ESC Rbfox2 CLIP study are not expressed in adult brains. We also tested by RT–PCR several additional orthologous transcripts expressed in both human ESCs and mouse brains that were identified as Rbfox2 targets in ESCs but not in our microarray analysis (Picalm, Ptbp2, Rims2, Slk, and Tsc2) (Yeo et al. 2009). None of these exons were differentially spliced between wild-type and Rbfox2−/− brains (data not shown), indicating that Rbfox2 regulates these transcripts specifically in human ESCs, perhaps due to the absence of other Rbfox proteins in these cells.

Comparing the results from the two knockout mice helps identify common and specific targets for the two Rbfox proteins. Many exons altered in the Rbfox2 knockout were unchanged in the Rbfox1 knockout brain, indicating that they are either specifically regulated by Rbfox2 or expressed in cells that contain only Rbfox2. For example, exons in the chromodomain helicase Chd5 and the voltage-gated potassium channel Kcna2 have downstream Rbfox-binding sites and display decreased inclusion in the Rbfox2−/− brain (Fig. 3). Conversely, alternative exons with an upstream [UGCAUG motif in the γ-adducin gene [Add3] and the Fam149b gene display increased inclusion in the Rbfox2−/− brain (Fig. 3). Twelve splicing changes previously identified in the Rbfox1−/− brain (Gehman et al. 2011) were also found in the Rbfox2−/− brain, and 11 of these changes occur in the same direction in the two mutants. The exception is a pair of mutually exclusive exons from the Cacna1d gene, encoding the L-type calcium channel Ca.1.3. Cacna1d exon 8B splicing shows a modest decrease in the Rbfox2−/− brain and a small increase in the Rbfox1−/− brain compared with wild type (Table 1; Gehman et al. 2011). In the Rbfox1−/− brain, the splicing changes were primarily in transcripts involved in synaptic transmission (Gehman et al. 2011). The Rbfox2−/− brain shows similar changes in transcripts for ion channels and components of the synaptic machinery, but also in gene products with more diverse functions, such as RNA-binding proteins, transcription factors, and proteins mediating chromatin modification. These Rbfox2-specific targets include a methyltransferase domain-containing protein [Mett10d], Polybromo 1 [Pbrm1], and the aforementioned Chd5 (Table 1, Supplemental Fig. 4).

Some transcripts whose splicing is altered in the Rbfox2−/− brain have been previously implicated in brain development and might contribute to the observed developmental defects in the Rbfox2−/− brain. Chd5 is a tumor suppressor with high expression in human fetal brains and adult cerebells (Thompson et al. 2003). Add3 is involved in cytoskeletal dynamics. Similar to the Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre+/− mice, mice lacking Adducin proteins develop lethal hydrocephalus due to disrupted cerebral spinal fluid homeostasis (Robledo et al. 2008). We also identified changes in the transcript for low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 8 [Lrp8], which binds the protein Reelin to control cortical and Purkinje neuron migration during development (Rice and Curran 2001). Deletion of Lrp8 is known to cause Purkinje cell ectopias and aberrant cerebellar development (Larouche et al. 2008). We found that a 39-nt exon of the Lrp8 transcript is a modestly increased inclusion in the Rbfox2−/− brain (Fig. 3). This exon introduces a furin cleavage site into the protein to generate a secreted isoform that acts as a dominant-negative inhibitor of Reelin signaling [Koch et al. 2002]. The amount of this dominant-negative isoform is double in the Rbfox2−/− brain (Fig. 3), but it is not clear whether this would be sufficient to disrupt Reelin signaling and contribute to the observed Purkinje cell migration defect. Each aspect of the Rbfox2−/− phenotype is potentially caused by a combination of splicing changes, and dissection of this pleiotropic phenotype will be challenging. Individual defects will need to be complemented by specific mRNA isoforms that may not allow full reversion [Ruggiu et al. 2009; Yano et al. 2010]. In summary, numerous splicing changes were identified in the Rbfox2−/− brain that could contribute to its aberrant development.

Severe phenotypes of Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 double mutant mice

Compared with other splicing factor knockouts and the number of expected Rbfox targets from CLIP and bioinformatics studies (Ule et al. 2005; Yeo et al. 2009), the splicing changes in Rbfox1−/+ or Rbfox2−/+ brains are limited in number and often magnitude, presumably because of redundancy. Consistent with this, the double deletion of Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 in the CNS exhibits a much more severe phenotype than either single knockout. Rbfox1loxP/loxP/Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre+/− mice die perinatally, and we were unable to obtain analyzable sections from these brains at E18 due to tissue fragility. Thus, proper postnatal brain function and development require at least Rbfox1 or Rbfox2. Some compound Rbfox1/Nestin mutants, such as Rbfox1loxP/loxP/Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre+/− (heterozygous for both Rbfox1 and Rbfox2) or Rbfox1loxP/loxP/Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre+/− (homozygous null for Rbfox1, heterozygous for Rbfox2) are born and develop grossly normal brain architecture. In contrast, Rbfox1loxP/loxP/Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre+/− mice
are viable but very small and develop severe ataxia by the second postnatal week (Supplemental Movie 1). Ninety-three percent (56 of 60) of these mice die or require euthanasia by 3–4 wk of age. The Rbfox1<sup>+/–</sup>/Rbfox2<sup>/C0</sup> cerebellum closely resembles that of the Rbfox2<sup>/C0</sup> cerebellum, being disproportionately small and possessing many ectopic Purkinje cells (data not shown). The enhanced phenotype of the combined Rbfox1 heterozygote/Rbfox2-null mouse supports the idea that Rbfox2 is needed both during development and in the adult, where it is partially redundant with Rbfox1.

Purkinje cell-specific deletion of Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 results in impaired motor function and abnormal Purkinje cell pacemaking

The Rbfox1 protein is primarily expressed late in development and is required for mature neuronal function. The developmental phenotype of the Rbfox2 deletion complicates assessment of its role in the mature brain and its possible redundancy with Rbfox1 and Rbfox3. To examine Rbfox2 function after cerebellar maturation, we used additional Cre lines. Since Purkinje cells are unusual in not expressing the third Rbfox homolog, Rbfox3 [Fig. 1A; Wolf et al. 1996], the loss of Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 could have more severe consequences in these cells. Thus, we created a Purkinje cell-specific double-knockout (DKO) mouse using the Purkinje cell-specific L7/Pcp2 promoter to drive Cre recombinase expression [Barski et al. 2000]. This allowed assessment of Rbfox protein function specifically in these cells. 

Rbfox1<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/L7-Cre<sup>+/–</sup> (L7-DKO) mice were viable and did not exhibit the abnormal cerebellar development or severe ataxia of Rbfox1<sup>+/loxP</sup>/Rbfox2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>/Nestin-Cre<sup>+/+</sup> mice. The L7 promoter is active relatively late in development, with maximal genomic recombination by 2–3 wk of age [Barski et al. 2000]. Assessing Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 expression in the L7-DKO cerebellum by confocal immunofluorescence, we found that Purkinje cells continue to express both Rbfox proteins at P20 (Fig. 4A). However, by P70, L7-DKO Purkinje cells no longer express the Rbfox proteins [Fig. 4A], in keeping with the expected timing of gene loss. Purkinje cell morphology in L7-DKO mice at P20 and P70 closely resembled that of wild-type Purkinje cells [Fig. 4B].

**Figure 4.** At P70, L7-Cre DKO mice no longer express Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 in Purkinje cells and exhibit impaired motor function. (A,B) Confocal immunofluorescence microscopy on sagittal sections of wild-type (WT) cerebellum (left panel), L7-Cre DKO cerebellum at P20 (middle panel), and L7-Cre DKO cerebellum at P70 (right panel). (A) Overlayed images of sections probed for Rbfox1 (green) and Rbfox2 (red) expression. (B) Overlayed Z-stack projections of sections probed for Calbindin (green), counterstained with DAPI (blue). [ML] Molecular layer; [PCL] Purkinje cell layer; [iGCL] internal granule cell layer. Bars, 50 μm. (C) Quantification of wild-type and L7-DKO performance on the rotarod test; error bars represent SEM. Statistical significance was calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test (nonparametric). **P = 0.0032; (n.s.) not significantly different between wild type and L7-DKO. n = 27 wild type and 25 L7-DKO animals.
Using the rotarod behavioral assay, we quantitatively assessed the motor function of the L7-DKO mice at age P70. On the first rotarod trial, adult mutant mice showed a mean latency to fall that was significantly shorter (P = 0.0032) than wild-type animals [Fig. 4C]. Performance of the wild-type littermate controls distinctly improved with motor learning over the next three consecutive rotarod trials, although the variability in their performance also increased, presumably due to variation in their genetic background. In contrast, performance improved only slightly with each trial for the L7-DKO mice, and the L7-DKO mice were clearly deficient in function relative to wild type. In tests of other behaviors, such as the open field test used to assess anxiety and exploratory behavior, L7-DKO mice showed no deviation from wild type [data not shown]. There was also no statistically significant difference in rotarod performance between L7-DKO males and L7-DKO females. Thus, although other neurological functions remain intact, the L7-DKO mice are impaired for motor function.

To assess their possible physiological deficits, we performed electrophysiological recording of Purkinje cells in the various Rbfox mutant mouse strains. Normal Purkinje cells exhibit spontaneous and regular firing of pacemaking action potentials [Hausser and Clark 1997]. Because of their more severe phenotype, we first examined mice carrying Nestin-Cre. Using extracellular recording, we measured the spontaneous firing of Purkinje cells in cerebellar slices of wild-type, Rbfox2+/–, and Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/− mice. Representative traces from single Purkinje cells from each of these three genotypes are shown in Figure 5A. Compared with wild-type cells, Rbfox2−/− Purkinje cells exhibit a moderate decrease in firing frequency, while Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/− Purkinje cells show a dramatically decreased frequency [Fig. 5B]. Strikingly, the firing of both Rbfox2−/− and Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/− cells is highly irregular, as indicated by a large coefficient of variation in their interspike interval [ISI]. Thus, both Rbfox2 and Rbfox1 contribute to Purkinje cell pacemaking [Fig. 5B].

To examine the requirement for the Rbfox proteins in mature Purkinje cells, we also recorded their firing in L7-DKO cerebellar slices. Representative traces from wild-type and L7-DKO Purkinje cells at P20 and at P70 are shown in Figure 5C. At age P20, L7-DKO Purkinje cells showed a firing frequency and coefficient of variation unchanged from that of wild-type Purkinje cells [Fig. 5D]. In contrast, by P70, firing frequency in L7-DKO Purkinje cells declined by 60% [Fig. 5D]. The regularity of firing was even more dramatically affected, with a 13-fold increase in the ISI coefficient of variation, very similar to the defect seen in Rbfox2−/−/Rbfox2−/− slices. The more severe deficit in firing frequency observed in Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/− Purkinje cells may be due to developmental

---

**Figure 5.** Rbfox1+/loxP/Rbfox2loxP/loxP/Nestin-Cre−/− and L7-DKO mice show highly irregular Purkinje cell electrophysiology. (A) Representative contiguous segments of an extracellular recording from a single Purkinje cell (PC) in the various Rbfox/Nestin knockouts. (B) Pooled data for Purkinje cell mean firing frequency and coefficient of variation of interspike intervals [ISI CV] in the various Rbfox/Nestin knockouts. n = 99, 89, 100, and 139 cells for wild type (WT), Rbfox2−/−, Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/−, and Rbfox1+/–/Rbfox2−/−, respectively. (C) Representative contiguous segments of an extracellular recording from a single Purkinje cell in wild-type and L7-DKO mice at age P20 or P70. (D) Pooled data for Purkinje cell mean firing frequency and ISI CV in wild-type and L7-DKO mice. n = 43, 63, 39, and 71 cells for wild-type P20, L7-DKO P20, wild-type P70, and L7-DKO P70, respectively. Error bars, SEM. Statistical significance was calculated by ANOVA testing, followed by post-hoc Tukey paired comparisons with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. (*) P < 0.005; (**) P < 2 × 10⁻⁶.
defects resulting from the earlier gene deletion or may be attributed to the loss of Rbfox proteins in additional cell types. These results demonstrate that Rbfox-mediated splicing regulation is required in mature neural circuits and not just in the developing brain. In particular, Rbfox proteins are required for proper Purkinje cell pacemaking.

The Na\textsubscript{+}1.6 sodium channel transcript requires Rbfox proteins for proper cerebellar expression

Regular spontaneous firing of Purkinje cells is in part mediated by a resurgent current from sodium channels that promotes rapid recovery from an inactivated to an open channel state [Raman and Bean 1997]. The voltage-gated sodium channel α subunit Na\textsubscript{+}1.6 (Scn8a), along with a β4 accessory subunit (Scn4b), is required for the resurgent sodium current in Purkinje cells [Raman et al. 1997; Grieco et al. 2005]. L7-Scn8a-KO mice that lack the Na\textsubscript{+}1.6 channel in Purkinje cells exhibit impaired rotarod performance and reduced spontaneous firing, very similar to the L7-DKO mice [Raman et al. 1997; Meisler et al. 2001; Levin et al. 2006].

The Scn8a transcript contains two pairs of mutually exclusive exons. Exons 5N and 5A encode alternative versions of transmembrane segments S3 to S4 within domain I of the channel, and exons 18N and 18A encode similar alternative versions of segments S3 to S4 within domain III. The different domain I sequences encoded by exons 5N and 5A could influence either its voltage-dependent gating or its interaction with the blocking subunit β4 that is important for the resurgent sodium current [Grieco et al. 2005]. In domain III, exon 18A encodes the full S3-to-S4 segment. However, Exon 18N contains a conserved in-frame stop codon that prematurely truncates the reading frame, leading to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [Plummer et al. 1997; O’Brien et al. 2011]. Still another mRNA isoform, the Δ18 transcript, maintains the original reading frame, but lacks segments S3 and S4 of domain III altogether. Thus, exon 18A splicing is likely required to produce a functional channel.

These exons are regulated developmentally, with transcripts containing exons 5N and 18N predominant in the embryonic brain and exons 5A and 18A transcripts more abundant in the adult [Plummer et al. 1997]. Potential Rbfox-binding motifs are present downstream from both exons 5A and 18A (Fig. 6A,C). Moreover, exon 18A has been shown to be activated by ectopically expressed Fox proteins. This enhancement is dependent on the first Rbfox-binding motifs present downstream from both exons 5A and 18A could influence either its voltage-dependent gating or its interaction with the blocking subunit β4 that is important for the resurgent sodium current [Grieco et al. 2005]. In domain III, exon 18A encodes the full S3-to-S4 segment. However, Exon 18N contains a conserved in-frame stop codon that prematurely truncates the reading frame, leading to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [Plummer et al. 1997; O’Brien et al. 2011]. Still another mRNA isoform, the Δ18 transcript, maintains the original reading frame, but lacks segments S3 and S4 of domain III altogether. Thus, exon 18A splicing is likely required to produce a functional channel.

These exons are regulated developmentally, with transcripts containing exons 5N and 18N predominant in the embryonic brain and exons 5A and 18A transcripts more abundant in the adult [Plummer et al. 1997]. Potential Rbfox-binding motifs are present downstream from both exons 5A and 18A (Fig. 6A,C). Moreover, exon 18A has been shown to be activated by ectopically expressed Fox proteins. This enhancement is dependent on the first Rbfox-binding motifs present downstream from both exons 5A and 18A could influence either its voltage-dependent gating or its interaction with the blocking subunit β4 that is important for the resurgent sodium current [Grieco et al. 2005]. In domain III, exon 18A encodes the full S3-to-S4 segment. However, Exon 18N contains a conserved in-frame stop codon that prematurely truncates the reading frame, leading to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [Plummer et al. 1997; O’Brien et al. 2011]. Still another mRNA isoform, the Δ18 transcript, maintains the original reading frame, but lacks segments S3 and S4 of domain III altogether. Thus, exon 18A splicing is likely required to produce a functional channel.

The regulatory network controlled by Rbfox2 is large and will make diverse contributions to Purkinje cell biology. Rbfox2 deficiency early in brain development results in reduced cerebellar size and a neuronal migration phenotype with ectopic Purkinje cells, similar to defects observed from Reelin signaling mutations (Fig. 2C–E). However, there are changes in the splicing of other transcripts affecting membrane physiology and calcium homeostasis in these mice, and it is likely that other deficits also contribute to the firing phenotype. Clearly, one function of the Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 post-transcriptional regulatory program is to control Na\textsubscript{+}1.6 expression and allow proper Purkinje cell pacemaking.

Discussion

Rbfox2 is needed for cerebellar development

We found that the Rbfox RNA-binding proteins are essential for both the proper development and the mature physiology of the cerebellum. Purkinje cells develop relatively early in embryonic development and are largely in place by birth, before the development of most other cerebellar cells. The migration and differentiation of these cells is controlled by a variety of transcription factors and signaling pathways, including Math1, BDNF, and Reelin (Wang and Zoghbi 2001). We found that post-transcriptional regulatory processes also play a key role in these events.

The regulatory network controlled by Rbfox2 is large and will make diverse contributions to Purkinje cell biology. Rbfox2 deficiency early in brain development results in reduced cerebellar size and a neuronal migration phenotype with ectopic Purkinje cells, similar to defects observed from Reelin signaling mutations (Fig. 2C–E). Defects in Reelin signaling generally affect migration of both cortical and Purkinje neurons. Another splicing factor, Nova2, has been shown to regulate cortical neuron and Purkinje cell migration by altering the splicing of the Reelin signaling adaptor Dab1 [Yano et al. 2010]. We did not observe changes in Dab1 splicing in the Rbfox2 brain. Also, the Rbfox2\textsuperscript{+/-} brain shows primarily defects in Purkinje cell migration and not in cortical layering. During the period of their migration, Rbfox2 is the only Rbfox family member expressed in Purkinje cells, whereas in much of the CNS, it is typically coexpressed with the Rbfox1 and Rbfox3 proteins. Thus, the lack of a phenotype in parts of the Rbfox2 knockout brain may be due to Rbfox1 and Rbfox3 compensating for the loss of Rbfox2. It
Figure 6. The Rbfox1<sup>+/−</sup>/Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> cerebellum exhibits strong changes in splicing of two pairs of mutually exclusive exons of Scn8a, the transcript encoding the Na<sub>a</sub>1.6 sodium channel. [A,C] Schematics showing the two pairs of Scn8a mutually exclusive exons, 5N/5A (A) and 18N/18A (C), horizontal black bars represent the upstream “N” (neonatal) exon, and horizontal gray bars represent the downstream “A” (adult) exon. Thin horizontal lines represent the intervening intron and the proximal 300 nt of the adjacent introns. Yellow boxes represent (U)GCAUG motifs. A histogram displaying the degree of conservation of this region among 30 vertebrate species, as determined by phastCons, is shown below each schematic. A score of 1 indicates 100% identity among all species at that nucleotide position. A distance scale in nucleotides is shown below the histogram. [B,D] Denaturing gel electrophoresis of Scn8a 5N/5A (B) and 18N/18A (D) RT–PCR products in cerebellar samples from mice of the listed genotypes. The exon A-included and exon N-included bands are indicated. Graphs of the mean inclusion percentage of exons 5A and 18A are shown below the gels. Error bars, SEM; n = 3. (*) P < 0.05; (**) P < 0.005 by paired, one-tailed Student’s t-test. [E] Immunoblot analysis of Na<sub>a</sub>1.6 protein in membrane fractions isolated from wild-type and Rbfox1<sup>+/−</sup>/Rbfox2<sup>−/−</sup> cerebells. α-Tubulin was used as a loading control for total membrane protein. Below the gel is the amount of Na<sub>a</sub>1.6 protein in each sample as a percentage of wild type, normalized by α-tubulin expression.
will be interesting to assess Lrp8 splicing specifically in nascent Purkinje cells rather than in the whole brain (Koch et al. 2002) and determine the contribution of the change in Lrp8 to the neuronal migration phenotype. This will require a Cre line that expresses the recombinase much earlier than L7-Cre. Moreover, additional Rbfox2 targets are also likely to contribute to the developmental phenotype of the Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\) mice.

We found that Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 have both common and differential functions. The different mutant phenotypes resulting from their CNS-specific deletion indicate that the Rbfox proteins are not truly redundant. In contrast to the cerebellar phenotype seen in Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\) mice, the Rbfox1\(^{+/−}\) brain exhibits largely normal development but is prone to seizures [Gehman et al. 2011]. The early phenotype of the Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\) mice was expected from its earlier expression compared with Rbfox1. However, even in the adult, there are exons whose splicing appears more sensitive to one Rbfox protein or the other. The unique target exons for each Rbfox protein may result in part from their temporal- and spatial-specific patterns of expression and in part from differences in their protein–protein interactions and cooperation with other factors on particular transcripts.

There is also clearly some functional redundancy between Rbfox1 and Rbfox2. The two proteins have identical RNA-binding domains and highly overlapping expression patterns in the brain, and Rbfox1\(^{−/−}\) and Rbfox2\(^{−/−}\) brains exhibit some splicing changes in common. In keeping with these common targets, CNS-specific double deletion of both Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 results in perinatal lethality, indicating that at least one copy of these proteins is required for proper brain development. Mice heterozygous for Rbfox1 and null for Rbfox2 (Rbfox1\(^{+/−}\)/Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\)/Nestin-Cre\(^{+/−}\)) have a reduced cerebellum, similar to the single Rbfox2 knockout (Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\)/Nestin-Cre\(^{+/−}\)). However, they exhibit a more severe ataxia as well as more pronounced defects in Purkinje cell firing [Fig. 5A,B; Supplemental Movie 1]. For some targets, it appears that the double knockout results in a more severe alteration in splicing than either single mutation alone.

Rbfox proteins are required for Purkinje cell pacemaking

To examine the contribution of Rbfox proteins to the function of mature neurons after proper development, we created mice with Purkinje cell-specific double deletion of Rbfox1 and Rbfox2 [L7-DKO]. These mice did not show developmental brain defects, but adult L7-DKO mice exhibited highly irregular Purkinje cell firing [Fig. 5C,D] and impaired motor function [Fig. 4C]. Thus, the Rbfox proteins are required in adult Purkinje cells. The ataxia and irregular Purkinje cell firing of Rbfox1\(^{+/−}\)/Rbfox2\(^{+/−}\)/Nestin-Cre\(^{+/−}\) mice are at least in part a Purkinje cell-intrinsic phenotype.

The spontaneous firing of Purkinje cells depends on sodium currents mediated by the voltage-gated sodium channel α subunit Na,1.6 (Scn8a). Knockout of Scn8a expression in Purkinje cells with L7-Cre results in impaired motor function similar to that in the L7-DKO mice [Levin et al. 2006]. This sodium channel is subject to rapid blockade by the associated β4 (Scn4b) subunit, which binds open channels upon depolarization and is released upon repolarization to produce a resurgent sodium current [Grieco et al. 2005]. Binding of the β4 subunit blocks the channel and limits classical inactivation of Na,1.6. Rapid detachment of β4 at hyperpolarized potentials then leaves the channel transiently open, providing a pacemaking “drive” current, which is required for rapid firing [Raman et al. 1997; Grieco et al. 2005]. The Rbfox1\(^{+/−}\)/Rbfox2\(^{−/−}\) cerebellum exhibits altered splicing of two pairs of mutually exclusive Scn8a exons, 5A/5N and 18A/18N. The increased expression of the embryonic/neonatal Scn8a transcripts in these mice leads to reduced Na,1.6 protein [Fig. 6B,D]. Thus, through their control of Scn8a splicing, the Rbfox proteins are required for the development and maintenance of proper Purkinje cell physiology. It will be very interesting to examine how this control affects Scn8a expression and Purkinje cell function in developing and mature cerebellar circuits as different Rbfox proteins come into play and are themselves dynamically regulated [Lee et al. 2009].

Studies of both human neurological disease mutations and mouse models have linked Rbfox proteins to epilepsy, ataxia, and autism spectrum disorder [Bhalla et al. 2004; Barnby et al. 2005; Martin et al. 2007; Sebat et al. 2007; Voineagu et al. 2011]. We found that the diverse spliced isoforms whose production is dependent on Rbfox are important for both establishing and maintaining proper neuronal circuits. The post-transcriptional regulatory networks controlled by these proteins are extensive, and like other splicing factor mutations, the loss of either Rbfox1 or Rbfox2 has highly pleiotropic effects [Jensen et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2001; Kanadia et al. 2003; Ule et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2005]. Confronting this pleiotropy will be essential in understanding the roles of these factors in neurological disease.

Materials and methods

Mice

We used homologous recombination to create “floxed” Rbfox2 alleles consisting of loxP sites flanking Rbfox2 exons 6 and 7, annotated as previously described [Damianov and Black 2010]. Southern blot hybridization probes were generated by PCR amplification and cloned using TOPO TA cloning kit [Invitrogen]. The probes were cut out of the TOPO vectors using EcoRI and labeled by PCR in the presence of α-\(^{32}\)PdCTP. Mouse strain 129S6/SVEvTac BAC library (RPCI-22), arrayed on high-density nylon filters, was obtained from Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute [CHORI]. Probe Fox2-BAC was used to screen the BAC library. Positive clones were purchased from CHORI and validated by PCR with the primers used in generating Probe 1 and Probe 2. Clone RP22-317F11 was used as templates to amplify the fragments between the BamHI sites [Supplemental Fig. 1]. The upstream arm and the targeted sequence were amplified using primers Fox2-knpr-F and Fox2-mid-R. The downstream arm was amplified using primers Fox2-right-F and Fox2-PRB2-R. The upstream and downstream arms were digested with BamHI, blunted with Klenow, and cloned in the XbaI and SalI sites.
of pFlox-PGK-Neo. The fragment containing exons 6 and 7 was inserted in the BamHI site between the two loxP sites. The primers used for the construction of the targeting cassette and the generation of hybridization probes are as follows: Fox 2 BAC: Fox2-bac-F 5'-GGCCCTTAAGTTGCTTCC-3' and Fox2-bac-R 5'-GAATGACAGACCGTTGGAAATG-3'; Probe 1 [upstream probe]: Fox2-knp-R 5'-CTAAAGGCGACGCATCCT-3' and Fox2-knp-F 5'-TATGATTATTATTTGATTGCCAAG-3'; and Fox2-bac-R 5'-GGCCCTTAAGTTGCTTCC-3'. The selection cassette was confirmed by Southern blotting with the genomic DNA with XmaI. The Neo/TK selection cassette was identified by Southern blot using Probes 1 and 2 after digesting the genomic DNA with XmaI. The Neo/TK selection cassette was transfected into 129S2/Sv ESCs at the University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) ESC core facility.

Expression of the selection cassette was confirmed by Southern blotting with the genomic DNA with XmaI. The Neo/TK selection cassette was identified by Southern blot using Probes 1 and 2 after digesting the DNA with XmaI. The Neo/TK selection cassette was transfected into 129S2/Sv ESCs at the UCLA ESC core facility.

The targeting cassette was transfected into 129S2/Sv ESCs at the UCLA ESC core facility. Clones that had undergone homologous recombination were identified by Southern blot using Probes 1 and 2 after digesting the genomic DNA with XmaI. The Neo/TK selection cassette was confirmed by electroporation ofCre-expressing plasmid (pURboCre) and ganciclovir selection at the UCLA ESC core. The deletion of the selection cassette was confirmed by Southern blot with Probes 1 and 2. Cells from positive clones were inoculated into C57BL/6] blastocysts at the University of California at San Diego transgenic and gene targeting core, and one line was found to have germline transmission. Heterozygous (Rbfox2loxP/+ ) F1 offspring were crossed, and the resulting homozygous (Rbfox2loxP/loxP ) mice were crossed to transgenic Nestin-Cre+ mice. Resulting heterozygotes (Rbfox2loxP/+ , Nestin-Cre+ ) were crossed to Rbfox2loxP/loxP mice to obtain homozygous (Rbfox2loxP/loxP , Nestin-Cre−/− ) offspring. Genotyping for the Rbfox2−/− and Rbfox2loxP/loxP alleles was performed by PCR using primers Rbfox2-Mid-F 5'-CATGATCCGTCTCTCGAATGG-3' and Rbfox2-Scr-R 5'-CTCGAGCCAGCCAGCAAAGCTGGTTAAT-3' with standard PCR conditions, resulting in a 320-base-pair (bp) wild-type and a 453-bp mutant band.

The Rbfox2−/− allele was genotyped in DNA extracted from brain tissue using the above Rbfox2-Scr-R primer plus the primer Rbfox2-Seq-LM-F 5'-AGATGCGCTCTTTATGGTGAGAC-3' with a product of 350 bp, the nondeleterious allele is too large to be amplified with these primers. The presence of Nestin-Cre was evaluated using primers Nes-For 5'-CTGGTTGCTGAGCGCTAAT-3' and Cre-Rev 5'-AGCCACGAGGAAAGGGAC-3', resulting in a PCR product of 470 bp. Mice used for this study were maintained on a mixed 129S2/Sv x C57BL/6J background. Animals were housed in a 12-h light/dark cycle with food and water available ad libitum and were maintained by the UCLA Animal Care and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accredited Division of Laboratory Medicine. All experiments were performed in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-approved protocols by the UCLA Chancellor's Animal Research Council.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

For animals aged P14 and above, Rbfox2loxP/loxP,Nestin-Cre+/− and wild-type littermates were transcardially perfused with ice-cold 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4), followed by ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS (pH 7.4). Brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, 40-μm free-floating sections were cut in the sagittal orientation using a cryostat, and sections were stored at −80°C until use. For animals younger than P14, brains from Rbfox2loxP/loxP,Nestin-Cre+/− and wild-type littermates were fixed in PFA for 24 h and embedded in paraffin, and 5-μm sections were cut in the sagittal orientation using a microtome. Prior to use, paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated, and antigens were unmasked using 0.01 M sodium citrate solution at 95°C. For Nissl staining, 40-μm cerebellar sections were thawed, hydrated, and stained using

0.25% thionin acetate (Sigma), dehydrated through alcohols and xylene, and mounted with DPX (Electron Microscopy Sciences). For double immunofluorescence staining, 5-μm and 40-μm brain sections were blocked for 30 min with blocking solution (10% normal goat serum, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) and incubated with primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Sections were washed three times with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and rinsed with secondary antibodies in PBS for 2 h. Sections were again washed three times with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, mounted with ProLong Gold plus DAPI reagent (Invitrogen), and imaged using a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta confocal microscope. Z-stack projections of Purkinje cells [Fig. 4B] were calculated from five 2.2-μm-thick Z sections per region. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse α-Rbfox1 1D10, 1:200, rabbit α-Rbfox2, 1:200 (Bethyl Laboratories), rabbit α-Calbindin D-28K, 1:800 (Millipore), mouse α-NeuN, 1:800 (Millipore). Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat α-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat α-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies, and Alexa Fluor 596-conjugated streptavidin (Molecular Probes) were used at 1:1000. Histological staining and immunostaining shown are representative of at least two independent samples. TUNEL staining was performed using the NeuroTACS II In Situ Apoptosis Detection kit ( Trevigen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To calculate the number of TUNEL-positive cells [Fig. 2E] and the total number of ectopic and nonectopic Purkinje cells [Supplemental Fig. 2A,B], three sections nearest the midline from three animals of each genotype were used. Area measurements were calculated using ImageJ. Data were analyzed by paired, one-tailed Student's t-test, and P < 0.05 was defined as significant.

Western blotting

Nuclei were isolated from wild-type, Rbfox2−/−, and Rbfox2loxP/loxP brains as previously described [Grabowska 2005]. Nuclei were lysed in lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes-KOH at pH 7.9, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.75% NP-40) containing complete protease inhibitors (Roche) for 10 min on ice. The lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 20,000g for 15 min and boiled for 5 min in SDS loading buffer. Proteins were resolved on 10% Tris-glycine gels. For preparation of membrane fractions, cerebelli from three mice aged P21 were pooled for each genotype, homogenized in RIPA buffer, and pelleted at 100,000g for 30 min at 4°C. Pellets were resuspended in buffer (50 mM Tris, 2 mM CaCl2, 80 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) and spun at 100,000g for 30 min at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and diluted in SDS loading buffer, and proteins were resolved on 6% Tris-glycine gels. Antibodies were used at the following dilutions: α-Rbfox1 1D10, 1:2000, α-Rbfox2, 1:2000 [Bethyl Laboratories], α-U1-70K, 1:5000; α-Na+, 1:6, 1:200 (AbCam); α-α-tubulin, 1:1000 (Calbiochem); ECL Plex Cy3-conjugated goat α-mouse and Cy5-conjugated goat α-rabbit secondary antibodies, 1:2500 (GE Healthcare). Blots were scanned in a Typhoon 9400 PhosphorImager scanner (GE Healthcare), and images were quantified and analyzed with ImageQuant TL software.

ML width and BrdU proliferation assays

BrdU (Sigma) was used to identify cells in S phase. Two animals of each genotype were injected i.p. with BrdU (100 μg per gram body weight) on P7. Two hours or 72 h later, the mice were sacrificed, and the brains were immersed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Brains were snap-frozen, embedded in Tissue-Tek (Sakura), and cut into 20-μm-thick sagittal sections on a cryostat (Leica). Sections were incubated in 2× SSC (0.5 M NaCl, 0.03 M sodium citrate) plus 50% formamide for 2 h at 65°C. Sections were rinsed
in 2× SSC and incubated in 2 M HCl for 30 min at 37°C. Slides were washed in 0.1 M boric acid (pH 8.5) for 10 min and processed for immunofluorescent staining as above. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse 
\[\text{Rbfox1}^{\text{loxP}}/\text{Rbfox2}^{\text{loxP}}/\text{Nestin-Cre}^{+/-}\] mice plus wild-type littermates \(n = 3\) for each genotype, and the RT–PCR assay was performed in the same manner as described above.

**Behavioral testing**

For analyzing balance and motor coordination, 27 wild-type and 25 L7-DKO mice of both sexes \(2–3\) mo were tested on the automated accelerating rotarod [RotoRod 3375-5, TSE Systems]. The rotational speed increased from 5 to 20 rpm over 10 sec. Mice were acclimated to the rotarod for 3 min, then tested on four trials with a 1-h rest period between each trial. The latency to fall from the rotarod was recorded, with a timeout (maximum period) of 180 sec. Mean values for each of the four trials were calculated for each genotype. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to assess statistical significance of differences in the latency to fall for each trial. In all cases, \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**Electrophysiology**

In general, tissue preparation and recording methods were identical to those described in prior studies (Smith and Otis 2005). To obtain cerebellar slices, transgenic and wild-type mice of the indicated ages were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. The brains were quickly removed and placed in cold extra-

**Splicing microarrays**

Total RNA was extracted by Trizol reagent [Invitrogen] from the whole brains of 3-mo-old wild-type and three \(\text{Rbfox2}^{\text{loxP/loxP}}/\text{Nestin-Cre}^{+/-}\) mice, or \(\text{Rbfox1}^{\text{loxP}}/\text{Rbfox2}^{\text{loxP/loxP}}/\text{Nestin-Cre}^{+/-}\) mice plus wild-type littermates \(n = 3\) for each genotype, and the RT–PCR assay was performed in the same manner as described above.
References


